

Muslim Girls' Education in Myanmar: Between Fear, Exclusion, and the Struggle for Rights

Description

Introduction

The issue of education for Muslim girls in Myanmar must be understood as part of a much larger historical and political narrative. Education in this context is not just the transmission of knowledge but a symbol of survival, dignity, and the hope for a better future. The challenges faced by Muslim girls are deeply connected to the politics of citizenship, ethnic identity, and social exclusion. As a result, the question of whether or not a Muslim girl can access education in Myanmar becomes a matter of human rights, not just a matter of schooling.

Over the past decades, Myanmar has been known internationally for its ethnic tensions and humanitarian crises. Within this volatile environment, Muslim girls—particularly from the Rohingya community—find themselves in one of the most vulnerable positions. Their right to education is constantly under threat, either by structural discrimination or by the day-to-day insecurities of living in conflict zones. Education becomes a contested space, one that mirrors the inequalities and injustices of society at large.

It is not enough to look at education simply through statistics. Behind every number, there are stories of girls whose futures have been compromised. For many, the denial of education is not a passive accident but a deliberate outcome of systemic exclusion. Policies like the 1982 Citizenship Law strip them of their rights before they even step into a classroom. Thus, the very act of seeking education becomes a form of resistance against marginalization.

This essay explores the layered dimensions of Muslim girls' education in Myanmar. It begins by outlining the categories of educational access available, then moves to discuss the fears and insecurities that hinder their schooling. The essay also examines both formal and informal protection mechanisms, the crisis response system, the limits of participation, and the role of family and religious leaders. Ultimately, it argues that education is the frontline of resistance and survival for these girls.

Aye Than

2025/09/19

Categories of Education: A Fractured Landscape

Muslim girls in Myanmar fall into four broad categories when it comes to education. A small number of them—only about 8%—are fortunate enough to learn both in religious schools and formal academic institutions. This happens in families where parents have an awareness of the value of education, and where conflict and poverty do not dominate daily life. These girls experience what might be considered a "complete" education, one that balances both spiritual and secular dimensions.

The second and much larger category is made up of girls who attend only religious schools. Around 40% of Muslim girls are in this group. The reasons range from cultural norms that prioritize religious education to parental views that secular schooling is unnecessary for girls. Poverty and insecurity in conflict zones also reinforce this trend. For many families, madrasa education is seen as enough, even if it narrows their daughters' opportunities later in life.

The third category includes those who attend only formal schools but lack access to religious education. Roughly 32% of Muslim girls are in this situation, often because there is no local madrasa in their area. Their families may value formal education, but do not have the means to supplement it with religious instruction. In some cases, this exposes the girls to cultural criticism within their communities, who may view them as lacking in spiritual grounding.

Finally, about 20% of Muslim girls receive no education at all. This is the most vulnerable group, caught between poverty, discrimination, and systemic barriers such as the lack of female teachers or language mismatches in schools. The infamous 1982 Citizenship Law compounds these issues, particularly for Rohingya girls, by excluding them from state recognition and thus from educational opportunities. This creates a vicious cycle where entire communities remain locked out of progress.

Fears and Insecurities: Education as a Risk

For many Muslim girls, going to school is not just about learning; it is about navigating constant risks. In conflict zones like Rakhine State, sexual exploitation and abuse loom as real threats. Girls traveling to or from school can easily become targets of violence. The threat of harassment discourages many families from sending their daughters to school at all, reinforcing cycles of illiteracy.

Statelessness is another profound insecurity. Without official identity cards, Rohingya girls cannot move freely to attend schools outside their villages. This immobility restricts not just education but also healthcare and social interaction. Girls often feel imprisoned in their own communities, unable to dream of futures beyond their immediate surroundings. This creates a sense of hopelessness that erodes their motivation to learn.

Economic hardships further aggravate these insecurities. Poverty forces many families to consider early marriage for their daughters, seeing it as a form of protection against hunger and insecurity. Yet, these marriages often end any chance of education. Girls lose their childhoods, taking on adult responsibilities prematurely. This practice robs them of opportunities to become active contributors to

society.

Finally, conservative cultural norms suppress girls' aspirations. In some communities, girls who show enthusiasm for education are discouraged or even shamed. Combined with the psychological trauma of living in conflict zones, these factors often lead to anxiety and depression. For many girls, school is not just out of reach—it becomes a distant and fragile dream.

Protection Mechanisms: Fragile and Unequal

Communities have developed various informal methods to safeguard their girls. Parents or male relatives often accompany them when they leave home. Neighborhood watch groups try to monitor threats from outsiders, while madrasa compounds are sometimes used as safe spaces for learning. Religious leaders or village elders also act as mediators when conflicts arise. While these efforts show community resilience, they are ultimately fragile and cannot fully guarantee safety.

On the formal side, laws and recognized schools should theoretically protect Muslim girls. International NGOs and UN agencies also provide programs focusing on education and human rights. Yet, these mechanisms fail in practice. The laws apply primarily to citizens, excluding stateless groups like the Rohingya. Even where protections exist, they are unevenly enforced or blocked by local authorities.

The gap between informal and formal protections highlights a disturbing reality: Muslim girls are caught in a space where neither the community nor the state can assure their security. Communities do what they can, but their resources are limited. International organizations may step in, but access to conflict areas is often restricted, leaving many girls invisible to outside aid.

This fragile system perpetuates vulnerability. Without robust protection, education remains unsafe. Girls and their families must constantly weigh the value of schooling against the risks of harassment, violence, or exploitation. This is a choice no child should be forced to make.

Crisis Response: The Thin Line of Survival

In times of crisis—whether conflict, displacement, or disaster—the state has a duty to respond. In Myanmar, police, health departments, social workers, and civil society organizations are positioned as first responders. Women's groups also attempt to provide immediate assistance to victims of gender-based violence. However, their reach and effectiveness remain limited, especially in areas dominated by insecurity.

Internationally, the United Nations and various INGOs also intervene. They provide humanitarian aid, education programs, and protection services. Yet their work is often constrained by a lack of resources, weak coordination, and limited access to conflict zones. Without cooperation from the state, their presence can be temporary and fragile, unable to build sustainable systems of support.

For Muslim girls, this thin line of response can mean life or death. In situations of sexual violence or forced displacement, help may never arrive on time. Families and communities are left to improvise solutions, often at significant personal risk. The failures of crisis response systems deepen the sense of

abandonment among these marginalized populations.

The lack of strong crisis mechanisms undermines trust in institutions. For girls, it reinforces the idea that they are expendable in the eyes of both their government and the international community. Until this gap is closed, the crisis will remain a recurring disruption that further alienates Muslim girls from education and security.

Participation, Confidence, and Peacebuilding

Muslim girls are mainly absent from formal education and community decision-making spaces. This exclusion is not incidental but the result of deliberate discrimination, poverty, and insecurity. In many cases, girls are denied even the chance to sit at the table where decisions are made about their lives. Their absence perpetuates cycles of silence and invisibility.

The lack of participation has profound consequences for their confidence. Without educational platforms or leadership opportunities, girls cannot build the skills necessary for peacebuilding. Their voices are muted, and their potential leadership is stunted before it can even emerge. This is especially tragic because girls often bring unique perspectives on peace, family, and community resilience.

In a broader sense, excluding Muslim girls undermines national reconciliation. Myanmar cannot build a durable peace while marginalizing entire communities. Education could be a space where inclusion begins, but without deliberate policies to ensure participation, that space remains closed. The absence of girls' perspectives leaves peace processes incomplete.

If Muslim girls are ever to play a role in rebuilding trust and harmony, education must first be secured as their right. Only then can confidence and leadership be nurtured. Without these steps, the cycle of exclusion will continue, denying society the chance to benefit from the potential of half its population.

Family, Educators, and Religious Leaders: The Last Line of Support

Families remain the first line of defense for Muslim girls. Parents and relatives provide financial assistance, transportation, and physical protection. They also encourage safe practices, such as wearing modest clothing to minimize harassment. This kind of family support is essential, yet the broader environment of discrimination and insecurity limits it.

Local educators often act with great courage. In conflict zones, teachers create informal spaces for learning—sometimes in madrasas, sometimes in community halls, and occasionally even in private homes. They also take on the additional role of supporting children's mental health, helping them cope with trauma and fear. Teachers become both educators and caregivers, filling the gaps left by state neglect.

Religious leaders play a complicated but essential role. On the one hand, conservative norms can reinforce limitations on girls. On the other hand, many religious leaders advocate for blended education

that combines spiritual and secular curricula. They also mediate disputes and help to create safer learning environments. Their influence, if aligned with progressive values, can significantly expand girls' opportunities.

Together, families, educators, and religious leaders form the last line of support for Muslim girls. While their efforts cannot substitute for systemic reform, they offer hope at the grassroots level. Their resilience shows that even in the harshest conditions, communities can carve out small spaces of safety and opportunity for their daughters.

Conclusion: Education as Resistance

The story of Muslim girls' education in Myanmar is more than an educational issue—it is a human rights struggle. To deny education is to deny dignity, opportunity, and the future itself. Every girl who manages to enter a classroom represents a defiance of discrimination, poverty, and fear. Their perseverance is an act of resistance in a hostile environment.

Yet the challenges remain enormous. Discriminatory laws, cultural barriers, insecurity, and the failures of protection systems continue to deny Muslim girls their rights. Families and communities do what they can, but without systemic change, their efforts are fragile. International interventions, while helpful, are inconsistent and often blocked.

For Myanmar to move toward justice, the education of Muslim girls must be placed at the center of national reform. Their inclusion is not only about gender equality but also about building a peaceful and cohesive society. Without them, the cycle of exclusion will continue, undermining the very possibility of reconciliation.

Ultimately, education for Muslim girls is not just about literacy or schooling. It is about reclaiming humanity in a context that has tried to strip it away. Until every girl, regardless of ethnicity or religion, can study in safety and dignity, Myanmar's dream of justice will remain incomplete.